Antifeeding and Insecticidal Potentials of Verbenaceous Botanicals against grubs of *Henosepilachna vigintioctopunctata* Fabr. (Coleoptera: Coccinelidae)



B.S.Chandel¹A.B.Bajpai²and Atul Singh³

1. Biopesticides and Toxicological Lab., P.G. Department of Zoology, D.B.S. College, Kanpur

2. P.G. Department of Botany, D.B.S. P.G. College, Deharadoon

3. P.G. Department of Botany, D.B.S. P.G. College, Kanpur

Email: chandelkanpur@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT : In present study, the insecticidal and antifeedant activity of alcohol extracts of leaves, seed and bark of four verbenaceous plants have been evaluated on the 3^{rd} instar grubs of *Henosepilachna vigintioctopunctata* Fabr. The plants used in this investigation are *Clerodendron siphonanthus*, *Lantana camara* Linn, *Lippia geminate* HBK and *Vitex negundo* Linn. The antifeedant activity was assessed through the feeding protection bioassay. Based on the EC₅₀ values, the extracts of *Vitex* seed and *Lantana* unripe fruit showed significant protection power against insects. The EC₅₀ values were 0.015 and 0.027 respectively which are statistically significant. *Vitex* seeds extract showed high toxicity within 48 hours with mean mortality rate as 80.86 % where as *L. camara* unripe fruits, *L. camara* leaves and *Clerodendron* leaves produced 78.26 %, 68.83% and 67.90% grub mortality respectively. The toxic percentage of the effects of extract of roots and seeds of *C. siphonanthus*, leaves of *V. negundo*, bark of *V. negundo* and geminating leaves of *L. geminate* were observed as 65.70, 65.50, 64.66, 64.16, 48.93 and 35.90 respectively within the same period of 48 hrs. It is suggested that these plants can be used as bio-control as insecticides.

Key Words: Biocontrol, Instar gurb, Antifeedant

Introduction

India is basically an agro-based country and more than 80% of Indian population still depends on agriculture and Indian economy is largely determined by agricultural production. It is unanimously agreed that insect-pests are the main factors causing damage to crops adversely affects agricultural production. The monetary loss due to feeding by larvae and adult insects alone contributes to billion dollars per annum. Among the Coleopteran, H. vigintioctopunctata is the key pest that causes severe damage to crops and brings about significant loss yielding (Chandel et al., 1987; Thakur and Mehta., 2004). Abbaszadeh (2011) very recently suggested that the aqueous fraction of C. infortunatum act as insecticidal and antifeedant on H. armegra. Chandel(2012) described toxicological compatibility of known biopasticide, Azadirachta indica and Acorus calamus against mustard aphid, L. erysimi.

A considerable concern has been raised about the adverse effects of pesticides affecting environment and also resistance development against pesticide. Hence, there is imperative need for development of safe alternative plant protections by botanical insecticides and antifeedants which have least side effects (Tewari and Moorthy, 1985; Verma *et al.* 1986; Rao *et al.*, 1990; Arivudainambi and Nachiappan, 1993; Meshram and Kulkarni, 1996; Faknath and Kawal, 1993; Dekha *et al.*, 1998).The use of plants for medicinal and insecticidal purposes dates back to antiquity (Sahayaraj, 1998; Vekaria and Patel, 2000; Dwivedi and Garg, 2003; Dubey *et al.*, 2004). Recent studies have focused on natural plant products as alternatives for insect-pest control.

Material and Methods

Grubs of *H. vigintioctopunctata* were collected from agricultural fields in the vicinity of Kanpur. The grubs were taken to the laboratory, placed individually and reared in groups of 20 grubs in containers. Containers were punched to permit air flow. Each group was fed for 48 hr with fresh leaves of brinjal.

The plant materials used in the present investigation were collected mainly from wasteland and wild areas while a few plants were collected from cultivated fields. The collected materials were dried in shade, made into powder and the extracts were prepared with the help of extraction apparatus using petroleum /alcohol as solvent. Four verbenaceous plant extracts viz., *C. siphonanthus* (seed, root and leaves), *L. camara* leaves and bark), *L. geminate* (leaves and bark) and *V. negundo* (leaves, seed and bark) were used for their biological efficacy against grubs and adults of *H. vigintioctopunctata*.

Antifeeding Test: Brinjal leaves of five centimeter square were cut and dipped in the extracts of different concentrations for two minutes. They were dried under clip and left under electric fan for about ten minutes to make a film of the extracts on the leaves for each set of treatment, one was kept as control in which, the leaf pieces were dipped in Benzene + emulsified water only. The treated pieces were kept in Petri dishes on moist filter paper and two third instars grubs of H. *vigintioctopunctata* were released in each Petri dish to feed for 4 hours. Three replicates per treatments were maintained. The area of leaf consumed by two grubs in each replication was measured and results were compared with control.

The data of leaf area consumed by two grubs of *H. vigintioctopunctata* in each replication was bulked in these values and the percentage of leaf area protected over control was calculated. The protection was estimated over damage. The concentrations were converted into log concentrations (100 X). The data were subjected to the Probit analysis. The EC_s value in respect to each extract was calculated. The fitness of test was tested by comparing table at respective degree of freedom (df). The variance rate was calculated and the fiducial limits were worked out. Finally, the regression columns were drawn with the regression equation (Abbott, 1925).

Insecticidal Test: The 24 hr starved, third instars grubs were used for experimental purpose. The insecticidal test of the plant extracts were performed by dry-film technique. One ml. of solution was sprayed on the Petri-dish. Each concentration was tested in three replications and was kept as control (Benzene + emulsified water). To record the mortality, the sprayed Petri-dishes were gently shaken under an electric fan till the herbal extracts evaporated, leaving behind a uniform dry film of extract on the glass surface. Inside each pair of Petri dish, ten numbers of 24 hrs starved third instars grubs were released and allowed to remain there up to two hours. After this, they were transferred to the fresh Petri dish containing fresh food for feeding. Mean mortality per cent of grubs was observed after 6, 12 and 24 hrs. Laboratory tests were conducted under controlled conditions ($27 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C temperatures and 75 ± 5 % humidity).

Results and Discussions

Table 1 and figure 1 revealed the calculation of log concentration, Probit protection, regression graph of antifeedant test on H. vigintioctopunctata Fabr. The EC_{50} value is depicted in table 1. On the basis of EC_{50} values, it clearly indicates that extracts of Vitex seed exhibit potent antifeedent activity and shows promising protection towards the grubs of *H. vigintioctopunctata*. The results of the present investigations regarding the feeding deterrents are in conformity with the finding of the earlier investigators (Tripathi et al., 1990; Prakash et al., 1990; Rao et al., 1990; Yano and Kamimura, 1993; Meshram et al., 1994; Huang and Zhou, 1995; Huang and Okamura, 1995; Yasui and Kato, 1998; Joshi and Lockwood, 2000; Juan and Sans, 2000, Pandey and Khan, 2000; Ogendo et al. 2003 Kannathasan et al., 2007; Perez et al., 2010). Sindhu and Singh (1975) reported that kerne of Azadirachta indicahas antifeeding and insecticidal properties. Recently, Chandel et al. (2011) studied the compatibility of Azadirachta indica against painted bug, B. cruciferarum and mustard aphid, L. erysimi and held that they good biopesticde for controllong antifeeding and insecticidal agents. The results of the present investigation revealed that verbenaceous plants can be



Fig. 1. Calculation of log conc. / Probit Protection regression graph.

Plant Extracts	Het.	X ²	Regression Equation	EC ₅₀	Fiducial Limit
Clerodendron Leaves Extract	3	0.75	Y = 0.77x + 3.96	0.197	$M_1 = 1.7441$ $m_2 = 0.9358$
Clerodendron Seed Extract	3	0.88	Y = 0.84x + 3.84	0. 231	$M_1 = 1.7533$ $m_2 = 1.0066$
Clerodendron Root Extract	3	0.78	Y = 0.69x + 2.38	0.366	$M_1 = 1.5864$ $m_2 = 1.1389$
Lantana Leaves Extract	3	0.17	Y = 1.00x + 3.49	0.319	$M_1 = 1.8136$ $m_2 = 1.2063$
<i>Lantana</i> Unripe fruites Extract	3	0.42	Y = 0.53x + 1.41	0.027	$M_1 = 1.0234$ $m_2 = 1.0202$
Lippia Leaves Extract	3	1.52	Y = 2.10x + 1.36	1.457	$M_1 = 1.5144$ $m_2 = 0.4061$
Lippia Bark Extract	3	1.17	Y = 2.72x + 0.58	1.416	$M_1 = 1.6003$ $m_2 = 0.0336$
Vitex Leaves Extract	3	1.34	Y = 0.73x + 4.56	0.037	M1 = 1.1678 m2 = 0.0321
Vitex Seed Extract	3	0.58	Y = 0.61x + 4.84	0.015	$M_1 = 1.6293$ $m_2 = 1.0876$
Vitex Bark Extract	3	1.48	Y = 0.58x + 0.32	0.341	$M_1 = 1.7262$ $m_2 = 1.4272$

 Table 1: Calculation of log conc. / Probit Protection Regression graph (Summary of Antifeedant test on *H. vigintioctopunctata* Fabr.)

In case of X^2 was found non significant heterogeneous at P=0.05, Y=Probit Kill, X=Log Concentration X 10² D.F.=Degree of Freedom, E.C.₅₀= Concentration Calculated at given 50% Protection

used as effective pesticide as important as *Azadirachta*. Ventura and Ito (2000) reported a large number of plants having antifeedint properties against a number of different agricultural pests. Suindararajan and Kumuthakalaralli (2001) evaluated *Gnidia glauca* and *Toddalia aseatica* extracts against *H. armigera* larvae and reported that both extracts showed the high antifeeding action to the larvae.

Kumari *et al.*(2003) described antifeedant and growth inhibitory effects of some neo-clerodane diterpenoids isolated from *Clerodendron* species (Verbenaceae).They have isolated a compounds clerodendrin B, 3-epicaryoptin, 15hydroxyepicaryoptin and held clerodin as effective antifeedants at 10 $\frac{1}{4g/cm}$ (30 $\frac{1}{4g/g}$) with diet against *E. vitella* and at 10 $\frac{1}{4g/cm}$ of leaf against *S. litura*. Dwivedi and Bhati (2006) reported the antifeeding response of acetone extracts from four plant viz, *R. communis, E. officinalis T. erecta* and *Z. aungustifolia and claimed that* 100.00, 82.68, 75.48 percent and 77.98 percent protection from *C. chinensis*.

The table 2-3 and 4 and figure 2-5 reveals that the plant extract of *Vitex* seed extract and *Lantana* unripe fruit extracts produced the maximum mortality. It killed

Table 2 : Mean mortality percentage of *H. vigintioctopunctata* Fabr. in case of different combination under laboratory conditions :

Treatment	Con.		Me	an Mortal	ity percent A	fter	
(Plant extracts)	(%)	6 ł	nrs.	12	hrs.	24	hrs.
		T ₁	T.B.V. ₁	T ₂	T.B.V. ₂	T ₃	T.B.V.3
Clerodendron leaves	0.5	43.08	46.6	46.92	53.4	50.77	60.0
Clerodendron leaves	1.0	66.15	83.3	68.85	87.0	71.56	90.0
Clerodendron leaves	2.0	83.85	98.9	90.00	100.0	90.00	100.0
Clerodendron seed	0.5	41.15	43.3	46.92	53.4	52.80	63.5
Clerodendron seed	0.5	54.78	66.7	56.79	70.0	61.22	76.7
Clerodendron Seed	1.0	83.85	98.9	90.00	100.0	90.00	100.0
Clerodendron root	0.5	48.85	56.7	48.85	56.7	54.78	66.7
Clerodendron root	1.0	54.78	66.7	56.79	70.0	63.44	80.0
Clerodendron root	2.0	83.85	98.8	90.00	100.0	90.00	100.0
Lantana leaves	0.5	48.85	56.7	52.78	63.4	61.22	76.2
Lantana leaves	1.0	56.79	70.0	63.93	80.7	68.85	87.0
Lantana leaves	2.0	68.85	87.0	90.00	100.0	90.00	100.0
Lantana unripe fruits	0.5	52.78	63.4	61.22	76.8	83.85	98.8
Lantana unripe fruits	1.0	68.85	87.0	83.85	98.8	90.00	100.00
Lantana unripe fruits	2.0	83.85	98.8	90.00	100.0	90.00	100.0
Lippia leaves	0.5	18.44	10.0	26.56	20.0	26.56	20.0
Lippia leaves	1.0	26.56	20.0	33.21	30.0	39.23	40.0
Lippia leaves	2.0	45.00	50.0	50.77	60.0	63.14	80.0
<i>Lippia</i> bark	0.5	26.56	20.0	40.0	40.0	45.00	43.3
<i>Lippia</i> bark	1.0	45.00	50.0	50.77	60.0	56.79	70.0
<i>Lippia</i> bark	2.0	50.77	60.0	63.14	80.0	63.14	80.0
Vitex leaves	0.5	45.00	50.0	50.77	60.0	56.79	70.0
Vitex leaves	1.0	50.77	60.0	63.14	80.0	71.56	90.0
Vitex leaves	2.0	71.56	90.0	90.00	83.7	90.00	98.9
Vitex seed	0.5	63.14	79.6	71.56	90.0	71.56	90.0
Vitex seed	1.0	71.56	90.0	90.00	100.0	90.00	100.0
Vitex seed	2.0	90.00	100.0	90.00	100.0	90.00	100.0
Vitex bark	0.5	39.23	40.0	45.00	50.0	63.14	80.0
<i>Vitex</i> bark	1.0	56.79	70.0	63.14	80.0	71.56	95.5
Vitex bark	2.0	63.14	80.0	90.00	100.0	90.00	100.0
Control		0.00	00.0	18.44	10.0	18.44	10.0

 $(T_{1,} T_{2,} T_{3} = Treatments and TBV._{1,} TBV._{2,} TBV._{3} = Transformed Back Values)$

C.D. for the treatment combination means

C.D. for treatment x period means

0.048

0.147

=

=

Treatment		Mean %						
(Plant extracts)	6 hrs.		12 hrs.		24 hrs.		Mortality	
	T ₁	TBV_1	T ₂	TBV ₂	T ₃	TBV ₃	G.T.	TBV
Clerodendron leaves	64.36	81.3	68.59	86.7	70.77	89.1	67.90	85.9
Clerodendron seed	59.92	74.9	64.57	81.6	68.00	88.0	64.16	81.0
Clerodendron root	62.49	78.7	65.21	82.4	69.40	87.6	65.70	83.1
Lantana leaves	64.26	81.1	68.90	87.1	73.35	91.8	68.83	86.9
Lantana unripe fruits	68.49	88.5	78.35	95.9	87.95	99.8	78.26	95.9
Lippia leaves	30.00	25.0	36.84	36.0	42.97	46.6	35.90	34.4
<i>Lippia</i> bark	40.79	42.7	51.04	60.5	54.97	67.0	48.93	56.8
Vitex leaves	55.77	68.4	67.97	85.9	72.78	91.2	65.50	82.8
Vitex seed	74.90	93.2	83.85	98.9	83.85	98.9	80.86	97.5
Vitex bark	53.05	63.9	66.04	83.5	74.90	93.2	64.66	81.7
Control	0.00	0.00	18.44	10.00	18.44	10.00	12.26	4.25

 Table 3 : Mean mortality % of H. vigintioctopunctata in various plant extracts and periods.

 $(T_{1,}\,T_{2,}\,T_{3\,=}\,\,Treatments\,\,and\,\,T.B.V._{1,}\,T.B.V._{2,}\,T.B.V._{5\,=}\,\,Transformed\,\,Back\,\,Values)$

C.D. for treatment x period means	=	0.075
C.D. for treatment means(plant extract)	=	0.032
C.D. for treatment means (control)	=	0.160



Fig. 2. Mean mortality % of *H. vigintioctopunctata* in different periods irrespective treatments

Conc.		Mean mortality %						
	6	6 hrs.		12 hrs.		4 hrs.		
	T ₁	TBV_1	T ₂	TBV ₂	T ₃	TBV ₃	G.T.	TBV
0.5	42.70	46.0	48.98	56.9	56.64	69.8	49.44	57.7
1.0	55.83	68.5	62.94	79.3	68.42	86.5	62.39	78.5
2.0	72.47	90.9	83.39	98.6	84.62	9912	80.16	97.1

 Table 4 : Mean mortality % of H. vigintioctopunctata. in concentrations irrespective of periods in laboratory.

 $(T_{1,} T_{2,} T_{3} = Treatments and T.B.V._{1,} T.B.V._{2,} T.B.V._{5} = Transformed Back Values)$



Fig. 3. Mean mortality % of *H. vigintioctopunctata* after 24hrs periods.



Fig.4. Mean mortality % of *H. vigintioctopunctata*. in concentrations and periods.

Asian J. Exp. Sci., Vol. 27, No. 1, 2013; 37-45



Fig. 5. Mean mortality % of H. vigintioctopunctata. in botanicals irrespective of control

Fable 5 : Mean mortality % of <i>I</i>	Н.	vigintioctopunctata	. in	botanicals	irres	pective of	of con	trol
---	----	---------------------	------	------------	-------	------------	--------	------

Treatments	6 hrs	12 hrs	24 hrs	Mean mortality %
Botanicals	57.00	65.10	69.89	63.99
Control	00.00	18.44	18.44	12.26

80.86 per cent grubs of *H.vigintioctopunctata* followed by extracts of *Lantana* unripe fruit (78.26 %), *Lantana* leaves (68.83%), *Clerodendron* leaves (67.90%), *Clerodendron* root (65.70%), *Vitex* leaves (65.50%), *Vitex* bark (64.66%), *Clerodendron* seed (64.16%), *Lippia* bark (48.93%), *Lippia* leaves (35.90%) respectively.

Similar mortality has also been reported by various workers, notably Bai and Kandaswamy, 1985; David *et al.*, 1988; Buiyah and Quiniones, 1990; Raja and Albert, 2000). Kulkarni *et al.*, (1997) reported that reduction in insect-pests was due to the antifeedant properties of the extracts which caused mortality. The anti-insect responses of *Vitex negundo* was reported by Ajiwe and Okeke (1998). Rao *et al.* (2003) isolated from leaves of *V. negundo*, betulinic acid and ursolic acid and tested antifeedant activity against the larvae of castor semilooper *Achoea janata*. They concluded that ursolic acid showed more effective activity than the betulinic acid with larvae of *A. janata regarding mortility*.

Singh and Kanaujia, (2003) evaluated the insecticidal impact of NSKE (5.0 per cent) against the larvae of *Spilosoma obliqua* on castor. Saxena *et al.* (1992) found insecticidal responses of *L. camara* against *C. chinensis*. Ogendo *et al.* (2003) has evaluated the insecticidal and repellent properties of *L. camara* against stored maize grain of *Sitophilus zeamais*. They

reported that after 21 days caused 85.0-93.7% insect mortality and repelled 65.0 and 62.5% of insects. These taken plants extract can be more effective as antifeedant and biopesticides for insect-pest management.

Acknowledgements

Authors are thankful to principal D.B.S.College, Kanpur for providing research facilities and to Prof. R.A. Tripathi, (Retd.) Head, Division of Entomology, C.S. Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, for his valuable suggestions and cooperation.

References

- Abbaszadeh G., Srivastava. C., Walia., S. and Gautam. R.D. (2011): Insecticidal and antifeedant activities of aqueous fraction of *Clerodendron infortunatum* on *Helicoverma armigra* (Hubner). Annals of Plant Protection Sciences. **19**(2), 380-382.
- Abbott W.S. (1925): A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *Jour. Econ. Ent.* **18**, 265-267.
- Ajiwe V. I. E. and Okeke C. A. (1998): Characterization and applications of oils extracted from *Canerium schweinfurttii*, *Vitex doniana* and *Xylopia aethiopica* fruit/seeds." *Bioresource Technology*, **64**(3), 249-252.
- Arivudainambi S., Nachiappan R.M. (1993): Evaluation of antifeedant property of extracts of *Ipomea carnea* Jacquin against the semilooper, *Achaea janta* L. J.

Ent. Res. 17(3): 225-226.

- Bai S.K. and Kandaswamy C. (1985): Laboratory induced mortality of *Spodoptera litura* Fabr. fed on the leaf discs of castor treated with the extracts of *Vitex negundo* Linn, and *Stachytarpheta utricifolia* (Salisb.) Sims" *Indian Journal Agricultural Science*, 55 (12), 760-761.
- Buiyah I.M. and Quiniones A.C. (1990): Use of leaves of Lagundi, Vitex negundo Linn, as corn seed protectants against the corn weevil, Sitophilus zeamais Motsch. Bangladesh Journal Zoology, 18(1), 127-129.
- Chandel B.S., Pandey, U.K. and Kumar, A. (1987): Insecticidal evaluation of some plant extracts against *Epilachna vigintioctopuntata* Fabr. (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *Indian J. Ent.* **49** (2), 294-296.
- Chandel, B.S., Shai; Vajpai, Vimlesh Singh and Atul Singh (2011) Toxicity of azadirachtin, ²-asarone, acorenone, *Acorus calamus* Linn. and *Azadirachta indica* A.Juss against painted bug, *Bagrada cruciferarum* Kirk.. (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) Life Sciences Bulletin **8** (2), 225-228.
- Chandel, B.S., Shukla, S., and Tripathi, S.(2012) Toxicological compatibility of *Azadirachta indica* and *Acorus calamus* extracts and their ingredients against mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi.*, Kaltenbatch (Hemiptera : Aphididae) *Life Sciences Bulletin***9**(1),103-106.
- David B.V., Sukumaran D. and Kandasamy C. (1988): The Indian Privet, *Vitex negundo* Linn. A plant processing promising pesticidal activity. *Pesticides*, 27-29.
- Dekha M.K., Singh K. and Handique R. (1998): Antifeedant and repellent effects of Pongam (*Pongamia pinnata*) and Wild sage (*Lantana camara*) on tea mosquito bug (*Helopeltis theivora*). Indian Journal of Agricultlural Sciences, **68**(5), 247-276.
- Dubey A., Gupta R. and Chandel B.S. (2004): Efficacy of *Acorus calamus, Vitex negundo* and *Ageratum conyzoides* against tobacco caterpillar *Spilarctia obliqua* Walk. *Indian Journal of Entomology*, **66** (3), 238-240.
- Dwivedi S.C. and Garg S. (2003): Toxicity evaluation of flower extract of *Lantana camara* on the life cycle of *Cocyra cephalonica*. *Indian J. Ent.* **65**(3), 330-334.
- Dwivedi S. C. and Bhati P.S. (2006): Antifeedent properties of four plant extracts against pulse beetle, *Callosobrochus chinensis* L. *National.J. Life Sci.*, **3**(2), 159-162.
- Faknath S. and Kawal D. (1993): Antifeedant and insecticidal effect of some plant extracts on cabbage webworm, *Crocidolomia binotalis*. *Insect Sci.*

Applic. 14(5), 571-574.

- Huang R.C. and Okamura H. (1995): Azadirachtin, a limonoid antifeedant from *Melia azadirach*. *Phychemistry oxford*, **38** (3), 593-594.
- Huang R.C. and Zhou J.B. (1995): Insect antifeedant properties of limonoides from Okinawan and Chinese, *Melia azadirach* Linn. and from Chinese *Melia too sendan* (Meliaceau). *Bioscience Biotechnology and Biochemistry*, **59** (9), 1755-1757.
- Joshi P.C. and Lockwood, J.A. (2000): Antifeedant effect of aqueous extract of *neem*, *Azadirachta indica* A.Juss. leaves on *Oxya velox* Fabr. (Orthoptera: Acrididae), *Jour. Agric. Urban Entomol.* **17** (1), 21-26.
- Juan A. and Sans, A. (2000): Antifeedant activity of fruit and seed extracts of *Melia azadirach* and *Azadirachta indica* on larvae of *Sesamia* nonagriodes. *Phytoparasitica*, **28** (4), 311-319.
- Kannathasan K., Annadurai, S.K., Manivachagam, C. and Venugopalan, V. (2007): Differential larvicidal efficacy of four species of *Vitex* against *Culex quinquefasciatus* larvae. **101**(6), 1721-1723.
- Kulkarni N., Joshi, K. C., and Gubta, B. N. (1997): Antifeedant property of *Lantana camara* var *aculeata* and *Aloe vera* leaves against the teak skeletonizer *Eutectona machaeralis* Walker (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Entomology*, **22**(1), 61-65.
- Kumari G.N.K, Balachandran J., Aravind S., Ganesh M.R.(2003): Antifeedant and growth inhibitory effects of some neo-clerodane diterpenoids isolated from Clerodendron species (Verbenaceae) on *Earias vitella* and *Spodoptera litura*. J. Agric. Food Chem, 51(6),1555-1559.
- Meshram P.B; Kulkarni N. and Joshi K.C. (1994): Antifeedant activity of certain plant products against teak skeletonizer, *Eutectona machaeralis* Walk. (Lepidoptera : Pyralidae). *Journal Annal of Entomology* **12** (2), 53-56.
- Meshram, P.B. and Kulkarni, N. (1996): Antifeedant activity of Azadirachta indica and Jatropha curcus against Papelio demaleus Linn. Jour. Environ. Biol. 17 (4), 295-298.
- Ogendo J.O. Deng, A.L. Belmain, S.R, Walker, D.J., and Musandu, A.A.O. (2003): Effect of insecticidal plant materials, Lantana camara L. and Tephrosia vogelii Hook, on the quality parameters of stored maize grains. Journal of food Technology in Africa. 9 (1), 29-35.
- Pandey S.K. and Khan M. B. (2000): Effect of *Clerodendron siphonathus* extract on the adaptability by *Callosobruchus chinensis* to its own population density through dipping method. *Indian J. Ent.* 62 (2), 133-140.

- Perez S. G., Ramos-López, M. A., Zavala-Sánchez, M. A. and Cárdenas-Ortega, N. C. (2010): Activity of essential oils as a biorational alternative to control coleopteran insects in stored grains *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research* 4(25), 2827-2835.
- Prakash A., Mohapatra P.K. and Mathur, K.C. (1990): Isolation, Identification and evaluation of an oviposition inhibitor for storage insect pests from *begonia*, *Vitex negundo* Linn, (Verbenaceae). *Bulletin Grain Technology*, **28** (1), 33-52.
- Raja N. and Albert S. (2000): Effect of solvent residues of Vitex negundo Linn. and Cassia fistula Linn. on pulse beetle, Callosobruchus maculatus Fab. and its larval parasitoid, Dinarmus vagabundus (Timberlake). Indian Journal of Experimental Biology. 38(3), 290-292.
- Rao M.K., Chitra K.C., Gunesekhar D. and Rao P.K. (1990): Antifeedant properties of certain plant extract against second stage larva of *H. vigintioctopunctata* Fabr. *Indian J. Ent.* **52** (4), 681-685.
- Rao C. C., David, D.M., Krupadanam G. L. and Reddy V. D. (2003): Isolation, characterization and biological activity of betulinic acid and ursolic acid from *Vitex negundo* L. *Phytotherapy Research*, **17** (2), 129-134.
- Rao M.K., Chitra S., Gunesekhar D. and Rao K.P. (1990): Antifeedants properties of certain plant extracts against second stage larvae of *Henosepilachna vigintioctopunctata* Fabr. *Indian J. Econ.* 52 (4), 681-685.
- Sahayaraj K. (1998): Antifeedant effect of some plant extracts on the Asian army worm, *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius). *Curr. Sci.* **74**(6), 523-525.
- Saxena R.C., Dixit O.P. and Harshan V. (1992): Insecticidal action of *Lantana camara* against *Callosobruchus chinensis* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *J. Stored Product Research*, **28** (4), 279-281.
- Sindhu, G.S. and Singh, D. (1975): Studies on the antifeeding and insecticidal properties of *neem*, *Azadirachta indica* A.Juss. and *dharek*, *Melia Azedarach* Linn., kernel/fruit powder to *Pieris brassicae* Linn. larvae. *Indian Jour. Plant Prot.* **3** (2), 117-180.

- Singh, A.N. and Kanaujia K.R. (2003): Residual toxicity of some biopesticides against *spilarctia bliqua* Walker on castor. *Indian J. Ent.*, 65 (2), 297-298.
 - Suindararajan, G. and Kumuthakalaralli, R. (2001): Antifeedent activity of aqueous extract of *Gnidia* glauca Glig. and *Toddalia aseatica* Lam, on the gram pod borer, *H. armigera*(Hubn.) *J. of Envi. Biol.*, **22**(1), 11-14.
- Tewari G.C. and Moorthy K.P.N. (1985): Plant extracts as antifeedants against *Henosepilachna* vigintioctopunctata Fabr. and their effect on its parasite. *Ind. Jour. Agric. Sci.* 55 (2), 120-124.
- Thakur M. and Mehta P. K. (2004): Assessment of insecticidal properties of some plants against hadda beetle, *H. vigintioctopuntata* (Fabr.) *Indian Journal of Entomology*, **66** (1), 74-77.
- Thripathi A.K, Singh D, Jain D.C. (1990): Persistency of Tylophorine as an insect antifeedant against *Spilosoma obliqua* Walker. *Phytotherapy Res.* **4**(4), 144-147.
- Vekaria M.V. and Patel G.M. (2000): Bioefficacy of botanicals and certain chemical insecticides and their combination against the mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* Kalt." *Indian J. Ent.* 62 (2), 150-158.
- Ventura, M.V. and Ito, M. (2000):Antifeedant activity of Melia azadarach Linn. extracts uptake of tree Speciosa (Genn.) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) beetles." Brazilian Archives Biol. and Tech. 43 (2), 215-219.
- Verma G S, Ramakrishnan V., Mulchandani N. B, Chadha M.S (1986): Insect feeding deterrants from the medicinal plant *Tylophora asthmatica*. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* **40**, 99-105.
- Yano, K. and Kamimura, H. (1993): Antifeedant activity towards larvae of *Pieris rapae* crucivora of phenoleather related to methyleugenol isolated from *Artemisia capillaries*. *Biosci. Biotech. And Biochem.*, 57 (1), 129-130.
- Yasui, H. and Kato, A. (1998): Antifeedants to armywarms, Spodoptera litura and Pseudaletia separata, from bitterguard leaves, Momordica charantia." Jour. Chemical Ecology **24** (5), 803-813.