# **Evaluation of Prognostic Markers in Patients of Acute-on-Chronic Liver Failure and Decompensated Cirrhosis**



# Nitesh Arora<sup>1</sup>, Nitin Nahar<sup>2</sup>, R. K. Jain<sup>3</sup>, T. N. Dubey<sup>4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiology, Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal-462024, India
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Medicine, Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal-462024, India
- <sup>3</sup>Gastroenterology Unit, Department of Medicine, Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal-462024, India
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Medicine, Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal-462024, India

Corresponding author's Email:drnitesharora@icloud.com

Received: February 5, 2021; Revised: March 9, 2021; Accepted: March 23, 2021

**Abstract:** In the current era, with increasing availability of liver transplant across the country, comes a lacuna of prioritizing the patients presenting to the hospital for liver transplant. Such prioritization, although done on a standard basis by MELD (The Model for End-Stage Liver Disease) score in various countries for optimization of transplant waiting list, such scores show discrepancy in predicting acute in hospital mortality, as they do not take into account sepsis, inflammatory states and MODS. This is a comparative study, with aim and objectives to study the descriptive clinico-laboratory markers of prognosis and their relationship with acute mortality (within 30 days of admission) and in patients of decompensated cirrhosis and acute-on-chronic liver failure and to determine and compare the diagnostic performance of these clinico-laboratory markers to ascertain acute mortality (within 30 days of admission). Methodology: The patients were divided into two groups based on inclusion and exclusion criteria as subgroup A-Cirrhosis and subgroup B-Acute-on-chronic liver failure, and prognostic indicators including presentation during admission, SIRS criteria, QSOFA score, serum C-reactive Protein, neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio, serum sodium levels and MELD score were assessed in terms of 30 day mortality from admission. Conclusion: In patients of cirrhosis presenting features along with inflammatory markers are better prognostic indicators than the traditionally used MELD score. However, in patients of ACLF, where inflammatory markers are non-specifically increased, the MELD score appears to be the better prognostic marker for mortality.

**Key Words:** Cirrhosis, acute-on-chronic liver failure, MELD score, Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio, Creactive Protein, QSOFA, SIRS, Serum Sodium, Prognostic Markers, mortality.

#### Introduction

With availability of liver transplant in major metro cities in India, there is a dire need to prioritize the liver transplant waiting list for patients who suffer from end stage liver disease. The end stage liver disease includes a wide spectrum of patients including those suffering from acute liver failure, decompensated cirrhosis and a more recently defined acute-on-chronic liver failure. Out of these, acute liver failure has well established criteria for prognostication, however, the latter sub-groups which have an underlying chronic liver injury as a common factor, MELD score has been used to prognosticate patients and triage the liver transplant waiting list. However, since the MELD score has been classically designed and validated for risk of 3 month mortality, other markers which could identify the risk of short term mortality would be more suitable for prioritizing the transplant waiting list.

However, currently MELD score is the standard of prognostication and prioritization as no extensive data on other markers is currently available. In a recent study by Peng et al. (2016) it was demonstrated evidently that there lies a discrepancy between MELD score and mortality outcomes in cirrhotic patients, and in order to focus determinants of short term mortality acute parameters including neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (Zhang et al., 2016; Kalra et al., 2017) those fulfilling SIRS criteria, a high C-reactive protein (Ha et al., 2011; Kwon et al., 2015; August et al., 2017), those fulfilling QSOFA (Osatnik et al., 2018) score, and a low Serum Sodium levels Kim et al. (2009; 2018) have shown a promising utility, and in some studies (Zhang et al., 2016 and Kalra et al., 2017) non inferior to MELD score in decompensated cirrhosis. Some of these markers have been studied in patients of acute-on-chronic liver failure. This study aims to determine the sensitivity, specificity and

diagnostic accuracy of these markers, including MELD score to identify risk of 30 day in-hospital mortality and its possible utility in two sub groups (decompensated cirrhosis and acute-on-chronic liver failure) for predicting acute mortality and their possible role in prioritization of waiting list.

## Aim and Objectives:

- 1. To study the descriptive clinico-laboratory markers of prognosis and their relationship with acute mortality (within 30 days of admission) and in patients of decompensated cirrhosis and acute-on-chronic liver failure.
- 2. To determine and compare the diagnostic performance of these clinico-laboratory markers to ascertain acute mortality (within 30 days of admission).

#### **Materials and Methods**

The study was a prospective, descriptive and observational study, for which ethical clearance was taken from institutional ethical committee, Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal (Ethical Clearance for study-3626-28/mc/iec/2018 dated 30/1/2018) and included the indoor patients admitted under department of medicine, Gandhi Medical College Bhopal, India, who fulfilled selection criteria (inclusion and exclusion). A written informed consent was taken and their clinical and laboratory parameters at the time of admission and during the course of hospital stay were recorded. These patients were followed up till a period of 1 month from admission to note outcomes during January 2018 to July 2019. The Participants admitted were divided into two groups- Group A: Decompensated cirrhosis and Group B: Acute-on-chronic liver failure.

Sub-group A: Decompensated Chronic Liver disease, inclusion criteria - A Patient of Cirrhosis as proven by histology or by clinic-radiological criteria fulfilling at-least 2 out of 3

- a. In-homogenous Hepatic Surface with Splenomegaly
- b. Portal Hypertension on Radiological Finding
- c. Platelet counts less than 100,000/m3 or Variceal Changes in Endoscopy.

Criteria for Exclusion: 1. Acute Hepatitis 2. Hematological disorders 3. Hepatocellular Carcinoma 4. Other concurrent malignancies 5. Immunocompromised state.

Sub-group B: Acute-on-chronic Liver Failure (Sarin et al., 2008; Wlodzimirow et al., 2013; Lei et al., 2017) inclusion criteria-was defined as acute hepatic insult manifesting as jaundice and coagulopathy, complicated within 4 weeks by ascites and/or encephalopathy in a patient with previously diagnoses or undiagnosed liver disease. (Jaundice with Serum bilirubin >5mg/dl and coagulopathy INR>1.5 and development of ascites and/or encephalopathy as determined by physical examination).

The Prognostic markers which were noted include-1.Main event of hospitalization, 2. Neutrophil to Lymphocyte ratio (Sarin *et al.*, 2008; Zhang *et al.*, 2016). 3. SIRS, 4. C-reactive protein (Ha *et al.*, 2011; Kwon *et al.*, 2015; August *et al.*, 2017). 5. QSOFA (Ha *et al.*, 2011) score, 6. Serum Sodium levels (Osatnik *et al.*, 2018; Kim *et al.*, 2008 & 2009). 7. MELD score. These were evaluated in the two different subgroups as prognostic markers of acute mortality in the patients (within 30 days of admission).

**Data Analysis**: The parameters of all three subgroups and their outcomes were analysed separately for three sub-groups. Using in Microsoft Excel 365 and IBM SPSS version 25. In the categorical data sets Chi square test and for continuous variables student's t-test was utilized. Receiver Operator Curve analysis for each variable of each subgroup was performed determine the diagnostic accuracy and comparison with other variables.

#### Results

There were 90 patients who fit the criteria for decompensated cirrhosis with mean age was 47.12 ( $\pm$  12.71 years) of which 73 were males and 17 being female between the age group of 18-70 years, whereas 40 patients fulfilled criteria for ACLF, The mean age of patients of acute-on-chronic liver failure (n=20) observed under this study was 40 +15 years, with 16 males (x=37.4 $\pm$ 15.14 years) and 4 females (x=52 $\pm$ 13.7 years). A higher mortality was noted in patients of ACLF (45.0% n=18) as compared to the patients admitted for cirrhosis (24.4%, n=22).

## 1. Main event of hospitalization

There was a significant higher mortality noted amongst patients primarily with hepatic encephalopathy, variceal bleed than due to uncontrolled ascites or other causes in patients of decompensated cirrhosis, however, no difference between the mortality was noted with respect to primary event for hospitalization amongst patients with ACLF (Table-1).

Table - 1. Main event of hospitalization in decompensated cirrhosis.

Decompensated Cirrhosis		Acute on Chronic Liver	
_		Failure	
Uncontrolled Acites (n=30,	$\chi^2 = 7.732$	Uncontrolled Acites (n=12,	$\chi^2 = 0.804$
Mortality =10%)		Mortality =24%)	
Hepatic encephalopathy (n=33,	P = 0.038	Hepatic encephalopathy (n=14,	$\mathbf{P} = 0.381$
Mortality 60.6%)		Mortality 70.8%)	
Variceal Bleed (n=26, mortality		Variceal Bleed (n=16, mortality	
=23.1%)		=55.1%)	
Others (n=1, mortality 0%)		Others (n=0, mortality 0%)	
Total mortality- 24.4%, n= 90		Total mortality- 24.4%, n= 90	

2. For patients fulfilling SIRS Criteria, a higher mortality was noted amongst patients with cirrhosis, however not amongst those with acute-on-chronic liver failure (Table-2).

Table - 2. Prognostic utility of SIRS in predicting acute (30 day) mortality.

Decompensated Cirr	Decompensated Cirrhosis		Acute on Chroni	c Liver failure	
Mortality in patients fulfilling SIRS Criteria (n=28)	60.7% (n=17)	$X^2 = 28.9$ $P < 0.001$	Mortality in patients fulfilling SIRS Criteria (n=22)	54.5% (n=12)	$X^2 = 0.900$ P=0.406
Mortality in patients not fulfilling SIRS Criteria (n=62)	8.1% (n=5)		Mortality in patients not fulfilling SIRS Criteria (n=18)	33.3% (n=6)	
Total Mortality (n=90)	24.4% (n=22)		Total Mortality (n=40)	45.0% (n=18)	
Area under the Curve – (AUROC)	0.805	<b>P</b> <0.001	Area under the Curve – (AUROC)	0.606	<b>P</b> =0.379
Sensitivity	77.3%	At cut off	Sensitivity	66.7%	At cut off,
Specificity	83.8%	SIRS fulfilled	Specificity	54.5%	SIRS Fulfilled
PPV	60.7%		PPV	54.5%	
NPV	8.1%		NPV	33.3%	
Diagnostic Accuracy	31.1%		Diagnostic Accuracy	55.0%	

3. A significantly higher mortality was noted amongst patients of cirrhosis fulfilling QSOFA criteria, however, no difference between mortality was seen amongst those fulfilling QSOFA criteria, or not in patients of ACLF (Table-3).

Table – 3. Prognostic utility of QSOFA score in Predicting acute (30 day) mortality

<b>Decompensated C</b>	Decompensated Cirrhosis		Acute-on-Chron	nic Liver failure	
Mortality in	59.4% (n=19)	$X^2 = 32.804$	Mortality in	58.3% (n=14)	$X^2 = 2.155$
patients fulfilling		<b>P</b> < 0.001	patients		
QSOFA Criteria			fulfilling		<b>P</b> =0.197
(n=32)			QSOFA		
			Criteria (n=24)		
Mortality in	5.2% (n=3)		Mortality in	25.0% (n=4)	
patients not			patients not		
fulfilling Q-			fulfilling Q-		
SOFA			SOFA		
Criteria (n=58)			Criteria (n=16)		
Total Mortality	24.4% (n=22)		Total Mortality	45.0% (n=18)	
(n=90)			(n=40)		
Area under the	0.836	<b>P</b> <0.001	Area under the	0.662	<b>P</b> =0.166
Curve –(AUROC)			Curve –		
			(AUROC)		
Sensitivity	86.4%	At cut off,	Sensitivity	77.8%	At cut off,
Specificity	80.9%	fulfilling	Specificity	54.5%	fulfilling
PPV	59.4%	QSOFA	PPV	58.3%	QSOFA
NPV	5.20%		NPV	25%	
Diagnostic	35.6%		Diagnostic	60%	
Accuracy			Accuracy		

4. Similar results were noted with NLR, with higher mortality rates in patients of Cirrhosis with NLR more than 5, where as in patients of ACLF no difference was noted amongst NLR sub groups (Table-4).

Table - 4. Prognostic utility of Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio in Predicting acute (30 day) mortality

Decompensated Cirrhosis			Acute-on-Chronic Liver		
	failure				
Mortality in patients with NLR < 2 (n=16)	00.0% (n=0)	$X^2 = 25.784$ P. value <	Mortality in patients with NLR < 2 (n=6)	0% (n=0)	$X^2 = 3.594$ P. value <
Mortality in patients with NLR 2-5 (n=52)	15.4% (n=8)	0 001	Mortality in patients with NLR 2-5(n=22)	45.5% (n=10)	0 232
Mortality in patients with NLR > 5(22)	63.4% (n=14)		Mortality in patients with NLR >5(n=12)	66.7%) (n=16)	
Total Mortality (n=90)	24.4% (n=22)		Total Mortality (n=40)	45.0% (n=18)	
Area under the Curve –(AUROC)	0.802	<b>P</b> <0.001	Area under the Curve – (AUROC)	0.707	<b>P</b> <0.001
Sensitivity	63.6%	At cut off	Sensitivity	100%	At cut off
Specificity	88.2%	NLR>5	Specificity	27.3%	NLR 2-5
PPV	63.6%		PPV	52.9%	
NPV	11.8%		NPV	0	
Diagnostic	24.4%		Diagnostic	85%	
Accuracy			Accuracy		

5. A significantly higher mortality was seen in patients with C reactive protein more than 10 mg/dl amongst patients with cirrhosis and ACLF. However only 2 patients out of 40, amongst patients of ACLF had C reactive protein less than 10 mg/dl, and both patients succumbed (Table-5).

Table – 5. Prognostic utility of C -Reactive Protein in Predicting acute (30 day) mortality

Decompensated Cirrhosis			Acute on Chronic Liver failure		
Mortality in patients with C-	52.5%(n=21)	$X^2 = 30.685$	Mortality in patients with C -	42.1(n=16)	$X^2 = 30.685$
reactive protein > 10mg/dl(n=40)		<b>P</b> < 0.001	reactive protein >		<b>P</b> < 0.001
			10 mg/dl(n=38)		
Mortality in	2.0%(n=1)		Mortality in	100%(n=2)	
patients with C-			patients with C -		
reactive protein <			reactive protein		
10mg/dl(n=50)			< 10 mg/dl(n=2)		
Total Mortality	24.4%(n=22)		Total Mortality	45.0%(n=18)	
(n=90)			(n=40)		
Area under the	0.838	<b>P</b> <0.001	Area under the	0.556	P = 0.423
Curve –(AUROC)			Curve –		
			(AUROC)		
Sensitivity	95.5%	At cut off, C	Sensitivity	89%	At cut off,
Specificity	72.1%	reactive	Specificity	0.0%	C reactive
PPV	52.5%	Protein > 10	PPV	42.1%	Protein >
NPV	2.00%	mg/dl	NPV	0%	10 mg/dl
Diagnostic	44.4%		Diagnostic	40%	
Accuracy			Accuracy		

6. A higher mortality was noted amongst patients with lower serum sodium levels amongst patients with Cirrhosis, however no significant difference was seen in patients with ACLF (Table-6).

Table - 6. Prognostic utility of Serum Sodium Levels in Predicting acute (30 day) mortality

Decompensated Cirrhosis			Acute on Chron	Acute on Chronic Liver failure	
Mortality in	39.0% (n=16)	$X^2 = 9.971$	Mortality in	41.1% (n=10)	$X^2 = 0.971$
patients with			patients with		
Serum Sodium <			Serum Sodium		P = 0.025
130		<b>P</b> =0.005	< 130		
mMol/l (n=41)			mMol/l (n=24)		
Mortality in	6.7% (n=2)		Mortality in	50% (n=5)	
patients with			patients with		
Serum Sodium			Serum Sodium		
130 to 135			130 to 135		
mMol/l (n=30)			mMol/l (n=10)		
Mortality in	21.1% (n=4)		Mortality in		
patients with			patients with		
Serum Sodium >			Serum Sodium	50% (n=3)	
135			> 135		
mMol/l (n=19)			mMol/l(n=6)		
Total Mortality	24.4% (n=22)		Total Mortality	45% (n=18)	
(n=90)			(n=40)		
Area under the	0.652	<b>P</b> <0.001	Area under the	0.502	<b>P</b> =0.450
Curve –			Curve –		
(AUROC)			(AUROC)		

<b>Decompensated Cirrhosis</b>			Acute on Chronic Liver failure		
Sensitivity	72.7%	At cut off	Sensitivity	27.3%	At cut off
Specificity	63.2%	Serum	Specificity	54%	Serum
PPV	39.0%	Sodium	PPV	41%	Sodium
NPV	12.2%	levels less	NPV	72.9	levels less
Diagnostic	45.6%	than 130	Diagnostic	21.2%	than 130
Accuracy		mMol/L	Accuracy		mMol/L

1. Using MELD score, a higher MELD score was associated with higher mortality in both Cirrhosis and ACLF patients (Table-7).

Table - 7. Prognostic utility of MELD Score in predicting acute (30 day) mortality.

Decompensated Cirrhosis			Acute on Chroni	c Liver failure	
MELD score in	Mean	Students T	MELD score in	Mean	Students T
mortality	$(19.64\pm6.63)$	test = 3.517	mortality	(34.89±7.11)	test= 3.487
group (n=22)			group (n=18)		
MELD score	Mean	<b>P</b> < 0.001	MELD score	Mean	<b>P</b> =0.004
amongst	$(14.24\pm4.95)$		amongst	$(25.27\pm4.67)$	
survivors (n=68)			survivors (n=22)		
Total Mortality	24.4%(n=22)		Total Mortality	45%(n=18)	
(n=90)			(n=40)		
Area under the	0.740	<b>P</b> <0.001	Area under the	0.879	<b>P</b> =0.0049
Curve –			Curve –		
(AUROC)			(AUROC)		
Sensitivity	63.6%	At cut off	Sensitivity	77.8%	At cut off
Specificity	75.0%	MELD score	Specificity	90.9%	MELD
PPV	45.2%	>17.5	PPV	87.5%	score >32.5
NPV	13.6%		NPV	16.7%	
Diagnostic	34.4%		Diagnostic	40%	
Accuracy			Accuracy		

Table - 8. Prognostic markers in cirrhosis and their diagnostic performance

	SIRS	QSOFA	Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio >5	C - Reactive Protein levels more than 10 mg/dl	Serum Sodium Levels less than 130mMOL/L	MELD score >17.5
AUROC	0.805	0.836	0.802	0.838	0.652	0.740
Sensitivity	77.3%	86.4%	63.6%	95.5%	72.7%	63.6%
Specificity	83.8%	80.9%	88.2%	72.1%	63.2%	75.0%
Diagnostic Accuracy	31.1	35.6	24.4%	44.4%	45.6%	34.4%

Table - 9. Prognostic markers in Acute-on-chronic liver failure and their diagnostic performance

	SIRS	QSOFA	Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio >5		Serum Sodium Levels less than 130mMOL/L	MELD score >32.5
AUROC	0.606	0.662	0.707	0.556	0.502	0.879
Sensitivity	66.7%	77.8%	100%	89%	27.3%	77.8%
Specificity	54.5%	54.5%	27.3%	0.0%	54%	90.9%
Diagnostic	55.0%	60%	85%	40%	21.2%	40%
Accuracy						

#### **Discussion**

Amongst patients of cirrhosis the AUROC, sensitivity, and diagnostic accuracy of prognostic markers signify that the markers of inflammation such as SIRS, QSOFA, NLR > 5, C-reactive proteins more than 10 mg/dl have a higher AUROC than the MELD score, the highest being for C-reactive Protein levels. In terms of sensitivity where C-reactive protein had a highest sensitivity, followed by QSOFA, SIRS, Serum Sodium levels, whereas NLR and MELD had a low sensitivity to predict 30 day mortality. In terms of Specificity, NLR had the highest specificity, followed by SIRS, QSOFA, Creactive Protein levels, MELD score and Serum Sodium Levels. Diagnostic accuracy in terms of identifying 30 day mortality the C-reactive Protein and serum sodium levels had highest accuracies, whereas NLR had the lowest.

The above results along with the significant difference between presentation during admission suggests that in patients with cirrhosis, 30 day mortality can be better predicted by presentation during admission with higher mortality amongst those presenting with hepatic encephalopathy or UGI bleed, and secondly by markers of inflammation such as C-reactive protein, QSOFA, NLR, SIRS, and serum sodium levels better than the MELD score.

In patients of acute-on-chronic liver failure the presenting complains during admission did not significantly predict mortality. In terms of prognostic markers the AUROC reveals that MELD score was better predictor of mortality at 30 days than the inflammatory markers and had a high specificity of 90.9%, and diagnostic accuracy of 40%. It may be stated that in patients of ACLF, as the acute component is common in all the patients and thus markers of inflammation are raised non-specifically all the patients, the mortality is better predicted by MELD score, rather than the inflammatory markers.

#### Conclusion

In patients of cirrhosis presenting features along with inflammatory markers are better prognostic indicators than the traditionally used MELD score. However, in patients of ACLF, where inflammatory markers are non-specifically increased, the MELD score appears to be the better prognostic marker for mortality.

### References

- August S. P. 30 *et al.*, AJG (2017). ;doi:10 1038 ajg/2017 253, 2017. C-reactive protein predicts mortality, readmission in patients with cirrhosis [Internet]. [cited 2019 Jun 29]. Available from: https://www.healio.com/hepatology/cirrhosis-liver-71 failure/news/online/{5bec2dc5-3bc4-435e-80c1-fa5929511055}/c-reactive-oteinpredicts-mortality-readmission-in-patients-with-cirrhosis.
- Ha Y.E. Kang C.I. Joo E.J. Joung M.K. Chung D.R. Peck K.R. *et al.* (2011). Usefulness of C-reactive protein for evaluating clinical outcomes in cirrhotic patients with Bacteremia. *Korean J Intern Med.* 26(2):195–200.
- Kalra A. Wedd J.P. Bambha K.M. Gralla J. Golden-Mason L. Collins C. *et al.* (2017). Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio correlates with proinflammatory neutrophils and predicts death in low model for end-stage liver disease patients with cirrhosis. Liver Transpl. 23 (2):155–65. 6. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio is associated with mortality in critically-ill cirrhotic patients [Internet]. [cited 2019 Jun 29]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PM C4798278/
- Khot A.A. Somani P. Rathi P. Amarapurkar A. (2014). Prognostic factors in acute-on-chronic liver failure: A prospective study from western India. *Indian J Gastroenterol*. 1;33 (2):119–24.
- Kim W.R. Biggins S.W. Kremers W.K. Wiesner R.H. Kamath P.S. Benson J.T. *et al.* (2008). Hyponatremia and Mortality among Patients on the Liver-Transplant Waiting List. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 4;359(10):1018–26.
- Kim J.H. Lee J.S. Lee S.H. Bae W.K. Kim N.H. Kim K.A. *et al.* (2009). The Association between the serum sodium level and the severity of complications in liver cirrhosis. *Korean J Intern Med.* 24(2):106–12.
- Kwon J.H. Jang J.W. Kim Y.W. Lee S.W Nam S.W. Jaegal D. *et al.* (2015). The usefulness of Creactive protein and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio for predicting the outcome in hospitalized patients with liver cirrhosis. *BMC Gastroenterol*. 23;15:146.
- Lei Q. Ao K. Zhang Y. Ma D. Ding D. Ke C. *et al.* (2017). Prognostic factors of the short-term outcomes of patients with hepatitis B virus-associated acute-on-chronic liver failure. *Clinics*. 72(11):686–92.
- Osatnik J. Tort-Oribea B. Folco J. Sosa A. Ivulich D.

- Kleinert M.M. *et al.* (2018). Predictive Performance of Quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment Scoring in an Argentinian Hospital. *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research* [Internet]. [cited 2019 Jun 12]; Available from: https://jcdr.net/article fulltext.asp?issn=0973
- Peng Y. Qi X. and Guo X. (2016). Child–Pugh Versus MELD Score for the Assessment of Prognosis in Liver Cirrhosis. *Medicine*, (Baltimore) [Internet]. [cited 2019 Sep 9]; 95(8). Available from:
  - https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PM C4779019
- Sarin S.K. Kumar A. Almeida J.A. Chawla Y.K. Fan S.T. Garg H. *et al.* (2008). Acute-on-chronic liver failure: consensus recommendations of the Asian Pacific Association for the study of the liver (APASL). *Hepatol Int.* 20; 3(1):269–82. 20.
- Wlodzimirow K.A. Eslami S. Abu-Hanna A. Nieuwoudt M. and Chamuleau RAFM. (2013). A systematic review on prognostic indicators of acute-on-chronic liver failure and their predictive value for mortality. *Liver Int*. 33(1):40–52.
- Zhang H. Sun Q. Mao W. Fan J. and Ye B. (2016). Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio Predicts Early Mortality in Patients with HBV-Related Decompensated Cirrhosis. Gastroenterol *Res Pract*. 2016:4394650.